



## **Texas Department of Insurance**

### **Division of Workers' Compensation**

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645

512-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • [www.tdi.texas.gov](http://www.tdi.texas.gov)

## **MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION**

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

#### **Requestor Name and Address**

SOUTH TEXAS SPINE & SURGICAL HOSPITAL  
18600 NORTH HARDY OAK BLVD  
SAN ANTONIO TX 78247

DWC Claim #:  
Injured Employee:  
Date of Injury:  
Employer Name:  
Insurance Carrier #:

#### **Respondent Name**

CITY OF SAN ANTONIO

#### **Carrier's Austin Representative Box**

Box Number 19

#### **MFDR Tracking Number**

M4-08-1547-01

#### **MFDR Date Received**

NOVEMBER 5, 2007

### **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

**Requestor's Position Summary:** "We supplied, previously, various other worker compensation carriers EOB's showing the U & C for this same procedure. We do not feel that we are out of line, as usual and customary is established by insurance carriers by accumulating data off of bills received for payment. Argus paid at a rate of 48% and this is way below the average 80% for payment. Argus paid at a rate of 48% and this is way below the average 80% allowance on a bill for recouping expenses incurred on patient bills. Argus did not document how they established their U & C for this procedure and it was common practice for Argus to pay this IP room and board rate on all outpatient CPT code regardless of what the expenses were for our patients."

**Amount in Dispute:** \$1,167.00

### **RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY**

**Respondent's Position Summary:** "Respondent asserts it paid a fair and reasonable rate to the Requestor for the surgery. Respondent paid \$1,118.00 for this date-of-service. This fee would be the same fee charges by an inpatient hospital facility if the procedure lasted less than one day. Respondent asserts that the Requestor should state the specific reasons it believe it is entitled, under the statutory standards, to specific amounts of additional reimbursements, including the formula or formulas it assets are required for calculating the additional amount of reimbursements it is seeking."

**Response Submitted by:** Harris & Harris, PO Box 91569, Austin, TX 78709

### **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
August 14, 2007	Outpatient Hospital Services	\$1,164.00	\$0.00

### **FINDINGS AND DECISION**

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

## **Background**

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1 provides for fair and reasonable reimbursement of health care in the absence of an applicable fee guideline.
3. Texas Labor Code §413.011 sets forth provisions regarding reimbursement policies and guidelines.
4. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
  - 97 – Payment is included in the allowance for another service/procedure.
  - W10 – No maximum allowable defined by fee guideline. Reimbursement made based on insurance carrier fair and reasonable reimbursement methodology.
  - D0 – Payment is denied as our records indicate that this is an exact duplicate charge for a service that has already been paid or is in process.
  - W4 – No additional reimbursement allowed after review of appeal/reconsideration.

## **Findings**

1. This dispute relates to services with reimbursement subject to the provisions of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, effective January 17, 2008, 33 *Texas Register* 428, which requires that, in the absence of an applicable fee guideline or a negotiated contract, reimbursement for health care not provided through a workers' compensation health care network shall be made in accordance with subsection §134.1(f) which states that "Fair and reasonable reimbursement shall: (1) be consistent with the criteria of Labor Code §413.011; (2) ensure that similar procedures provided in similar circumstances receive similar reimbursement; and (3) be based on nationally recognized published studies, published Division medical dispute decisions, and/or values assigned for services involving similar work and resource commitments, if available."
2. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(c)(2)(A), effective December 31, 2006, 31 *Texas Register* 10314, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 15, 2007, requires that the request shall include "a copy of all medical bill(s)... as originally submitted to the carrier and a copy of all medical bill(s) submitted to the carrier for reconsideration..." Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not provided a copy of all medical bill(s) as originally submitted to the carrier. The Division concludes that the requestor has not met the requirements of §133.307(c)(2)(A).
4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(c)(2)(E), effective December 31, 2006, 31 *Texas Register* 10314, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 15, 2007, requires that the request shall include "a copy of all applicable medical records specific to the dates of service in dispute." Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor has not provided copies of all medical records pertinent to the services in dispute. Although the requestor did submit a copy of the operative report and anesthesia record, the requestor did not submit a copy of the post-operative care record or other pertinent medical records sufficient to support the services in dispute. The Division concludes that the requestor has not met the requirements of §133.307(c)(2)(E).
5. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(c)(2)(F)(i), effective December 31, 2006, 31 *Texas Register* 10314, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 15, 2007, requires that the request shall include a position statement of the disputed issue(s) that shall include "a description of the health care for which payment is in dispute." Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor has not provided a description of the health care for which payment is in dispute. The Division concludes that the requestor has not met the requirements of §133.307(c)(2)(F)(i).
6. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(c)(2)(F)(iii), effective December 31, 2006, 31 *Texas Register* 10314, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 15, 2007, requires that the request shall include a position statement of the disputed issue(s) that shall include "how the Labor Code, Division rules, and fee guidelines impact the disputed fee issues." Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor has not discussed how the Labor Code, Division rules and fee guidelines impact the disputed fee issues. The Division concludes that the requestor has not met the requirements of §133.307(c)(2)(F)(iii).
7. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(c)(2)(F)(iv), effective December 31, 2006, 31 *Texas Register* 10314, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 15, 2007, requires that the request shall include a position statement of the disputed issue(s) that shall include "how the submitted documentation supports the requestor position for each disputed fee issue." Review of the requestor's documentation finds that the requestor has not discussed how the submitted documentation supports the requestor position for each

disputed fee issue. The Division concludes that the requestor has not met the requirements of §133.307(c)(2)(F)(iv).

8. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(c)(2)(G), effective December 31, 2006, 31 *Texas Register* 10314, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 15, 2007, requires the requestor to provide “documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement in accordance with §134.1 of this title (relating to Medical Reimbursement) when the dispute involves health care for which the Division has not established a maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR), as applicable.” Review of the submitted documentation finds that:
- The requestor’s rationale for increased reimbursement from the *Table of Disputed Services* asserts that “Charge was not reimbursed at reasonable and customary rate and not substantiated for such”
  - The requestor did not submit documentation to support that the charge was not reimbursed at a reasonable and customary rate.
  - In support of the requested reimbursement, the requestor submitted redacted explanations of benefits, and selected portions of EOBs, from various sample insurance carriers. However, the requestor did not discuss or explain how the sample EOBs support the requestor’s position that additional payment is due. Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor did not establish that the sample EOBs are for services that are substantially similar to the services in dispute. The carriers’ reimbursement methodologies are not described on the EOBs. Nor did the requestor explain or discuss the sample carriers’ methodologies or how the payment amount was determined for each sample EOB. The requestor did not discuss whether such payment was typical for such services or for the services in dispute.
  - The requestor did not submit documentation to support that payment of the amount sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in this dispute.
  - The requestor did not submit nationally recognized published studies or documentation of values assigned for services involving similar work and resource commitments to support the requested reimbursement.
  - The requestor did not support that payment of the requested amount would satisfy the requirements of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1.

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

### **Conclusion**

The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under Division rules at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307. The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

### **ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the services in dispute.

### **Authorized Signature**

---

Signature

---

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

---

September 6, 2012  
Date

### ***YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL***

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party.**

**Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.**